arXiv:1703.07406v1 [math.GR] 21 Mar 2017

SUBSET SUM PROBLEM IN POLYCYCLIC GROUPS

ANDREY NIKOLAEV AND ALEXANDER USHAKOV

Abstract. We consider a group-theoretic analogue of the classic subset sum problem. It is known that every virtually nilpotent group has polynomial time decidable subset sum problem. In this paper we use subgroup distortion to show that every polycyclic non-virtually-nilpotent group has **NP**-complete subset sum problem.

Keywords. Polycyclic groups, nilpotent groups, subset sum problem, **NP**-completeness.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03D15, 20F65, 20F10, 20F16.

1. Introduction

The study of discrete optimization problems in groups was initiated in [8], where the authors introduced group-theoretic generalizations of the classic knapsack problem and its variations, e.g., subset sum problem and bounded submonoid membership problem. In the subsequent papers [11] and [12], the authors studied generalizations of the Post corresponce problem and classic lattice problems in groups. The investigation of knapsack-type problems in groups continued in papers [2], [5], [6], [9], [10]. The computational properties of these problems, aside from being interesting in their own right, were shown to be closely related to a wide range of well-known geometric and algorithmic properties of groups. For instance, the complexity of knapsack-type problems in certain groups depends on geometric features of a group such as growth, subgroup distortion, and negative curvature. The Post correspondence problem in G is closely related to twisted conjugacy problem in G, equalizer problem in G, and a strong version of the word problem. Furthermore, lattice problems are related to the classic subgroup membership problem and finite state automata. We refer the reader to the aforementioned papers for details.

In this paper, we prove **NP**-completeness of the subset sum problem in any virtually polycyclic non-virtually-nilpotent group by exploiting properties of exponentially distorted subgroups. This highlights a notable connection between geometric and combinatorial properties of polycyclic groups.

1.1. **Subset sum problem.** Let G be a group generated by a finite set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq G$. Elements in G can be expressed as products of the generators in X and their inverses. Hence, we can state the following combinatorial problem.

The subset sum problem SSP(G, X): Given $g_1, \dots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

$$g = g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots g_k^{\varepsilon_k} \tag{1}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

By [8, Proposition 2.5] computational properties of **SSP** do not depend on the choice of a finite generating set X and, hence, the problem can be abbreviated as **SSP**(G). Also, the same paper provides a variety of examples of groups with

NP-complete (or polynomial time) subset sum problems. For instance, **SSP** is **NP**-complete for the following groups:

(a) abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$;
(b) free metabelian non-abelian groups;
(c) wreath products of finitely generated infinite abelian groups;
(d) metabelian Baumslag–Solitar groups $BS(m,n)$ with $0 \neq m \neq n \neq 0$;
(e) metabelian group $GB = \langle a, s, t \mid [a, a^t] = 1, [s,t] = 1, as = aa^t \rangle$;
(f) Thompson's group F.

One can observe that in a number of the above examples, **NP**-completeness of **SSP** is a consequence of exponential subgroup distortion. Recently, König, Lohrey, and Zetzsche in [5] found a polycyclic group G with **NP**-complete **SSP**. Upon inspection of the proof, one can notice that exponential subgroup distortion plays a key role in their argument, as well. In the present work, we show that this is not coincidental. Specifically, in the case of polycyclic groups, we investigate subgroup distortion to give a complete description of **SSP**. We determine out that **SSP** in a polycyclic group is **NP**-complete if the group is not virtually nilpotent, and polynomial time decidable if it is. The latter is known by [8]; it is also shown by [5] that for virtually nilpotent groups, **SSP** is decidable in nondeterministic logspace. Our work is heavily inspired by results of [13], even though we do not, strictly speaking, rely on them.

The authors express their gratitude to A. Miasnikov and M. Sohrabi for insightful discussions and advice.

1.2. **Zero-one equation problem.** Recall that a vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is called a *zero-one* vector if each entry in v is either 0 or 1. Similarly, a square matrix $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is called a *zero-one* matrix if each entry in A is either 0 or 1. Let 1^n denote the vector $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The following problem is **NP**-complete (see [1, Section 8.3]).

Zero-one equation problem (ZOE): Given a zero-one matrix $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ decide if there exists a zero-one vector $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $A \cdot \overline{x} = 1^n$, or not.

2. Preliminary results in nilpotent groups

In this section, we prove a technical result on nilpotent groups (Proposition 3) that we use later in the proof of **NP**-hardness in Section 5.

Let H be a finitely generated nilpotent group. A choice of a Malcev basis provides normal forms for elements in H. Furthermore, it is well known that the length of the normal form for the element w is bounded by $p(|w|)$ where p is a polynomial (see, for example, [4]). The polynomial p depends on H and the choice of a Malcev basis. Below we establish a similar uniform (with respect to the number of generators) bound for all finitely generated free nilpotent groups of class c.

Let $H = N_{r,c}$ be the free nilpotent group of rank r and class c. Put $y_{11} = x_1, \dots, y_{1r} = x_r$. Further, let $y_{i1}, \dots, y_{ij_i}$ be the iterated commutators of weight i that constitute a basis for the ith quotient of the derived series of H, $\gamma_i(H)/\gamma_{i+1}(H)$. For convenience rename them $y_1, \dots, y_m$ so that this list is ordered by weight and $y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_r = x_r$. This tuple is called a *Malcev basis of iterated commutators* for H. Below we use the enumerations y_{ij} and y_i interchangeably, as convenient in each particular case.

The following theorem is well known in the case of a fixed rank. The proof below essentially repeats the one in [7] (which, in turn, is adapted from unpublished

lecture notes by C. Druţu and M. Kapovich), accounting for a variable number of generators. The key observation in the proof is that commuting iterated commutators of weights a and b produces iterated commutators of weights at least $a+b$. Therefore, each particular commutator can be iterated during the collection process not more than c times (less depending on the involved weights), which puts a bound on the number of iterated commutators of each particular weight in the final expression.

Theorem 1 (Uniform length bound for free nilpotent groups)**.** *For each integer $c \geq 1$ there exists a polynomial P for which the following takes place. Let $N_{r,c}$ be the free nilpotent group of rank r and class c, and let w be a group word in generators $x_1, \dots, x_r$ of $N_{r,c}$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_m$ be a Malcev basis of iterated commutators for $N_{r,c}$. Then there are integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that*

$$w = y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_m^{\alpha_m} \tag{2}$$

in $N_{r,c}$ and $|\alpha_i| \leq P(|w|)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Consider the free nilpotent group $N_{2c,c}$ generated by $z_1, \dots, z_c$ and $w_1, \dots, w_c$ and all possible expressions

$$t's' = s't' \cdot u', \tag{3}$$

where

$$s' = [\dots[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}], \dots, z_k^{\pm 1}]^{\pm 1} \text{ and } t' = [\dots[w_1^{\pm 1}, w_2^{\pm 1}], \dots, w_l^{\pm 1}]^{\pm 1},$$

with u' expressed as a product of commutators of weight at least $k+l$. Let C_0 be the maximum of the finitely many possible lengths of u' for all $1 \leq k < l \leq c$.

For such constant C_0 (note that it depends only on the nilpotency class c) the following takes place. Let $s = y_i^{\pm 1} \in N_{r,c}$ and $t = y_j^{\pm 1} \in N_{r,c}$ be of weights $k < l$, respectively,

$$s = [\dots[x_{i_1}^{\pm 1}, x_{i_2}^{\pm 1}], \dots, x_{i_k}^{\pm 1}]^{\pm 1} \text{ and } t = [\dots[x_{j_1}^{\pm 1}, x_{j_2}^{\pm 1}], \dots, x_{j_l}^{\pm 1}]^{\pm 1}.$$

Specializing equality (3) by $z_\nu \to x_{i_\nu}$ and $w_\nu \to x_{j_\nu}$ we obtain that

$$ts = st \cdot u, \tag{4}$$

where u is word in iterated commutators y_i in $N_{r,c}$ of weight at least $k+l$ and length at most C_0.

Now, we turn to processing the word w. For a group word v in the alphabet y_{ij}, by $|v|_i$ we denote the total number of occurrences of letters $y_{11}^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_{ij_i}^{\pm 1}$ in v. For example, since w is a word in the free generators of $N_{r,c}$, we have $|w|_1 = |w|$; for $v = [x_1, x_2] = y_{2j}$ we have $|v|_1 = 0$ and $|v|_2 = 1$. Formally, $|w|_{\leq 0} = 0$ and $|w|_{>c} = |w|_c$. We rewrite the word w in c steps. On step $1 \leq n \leq c$ we obtain an expression

$$w = y_{11}^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots y_{nj_n}^{\alpha_{nj_n}} \cdot w_{n+1},$$

where the left factor is the initial part of the desired right hand side of (2) up to y_i of weight n, will all involved α_{ij} satisfying $|\alpha_{ij}| \leq P(|w|)$, and w_{n+1} is a word in $y_{(n+1)1}, \dots, y_{cj_c}$ with

$$|w_{n+1}|_{n+\ell} \leq C_n |w|^{n+\ell} \text{ for each } \ell = 1, \dots, c-n. \tag{5}$$

Below we describe step n, assuming step $n-1$ is performed. The trivial step 0 serves as base of induction.

Thus, let $w^{(0)} = w_n$ be a word in $y_{n1}, \dots, y_{cj_c}$ as described above. Pick an occurrence of $y_{n1}^{\pm 1}$ and push it to the left using (4), $w^{(0)} = y_{n1}^{\pm 1} w^{(1)}$. By (4) we have

$$|w^{(1)}|_{n+\ell} \le |w^{(0)}|_{n+\ell} + C_0 |w^{(0)}|_\ell.$$

Proceed in the same way with all occurrences of $y_{n1}^{\pm 1}$, then all occurrences of $y_{n2}^{\pm 1}$, and so on, as follows. Given $w^{(i)}$, we express it as $w^{(i)} = y_{nk_i}^{\pm 1} w^{(i+1)}$, where $\{k_i\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence and

$$|w^{(i+1)}|_{n+\ell} \le |w^{(i)}|_{n+\ell} + C_0 |w^{(i)}|_\ell. \tag{6}$$

After $N = |w_n|_n$ repetitions we have

$$w_n = y_{n1}^{\alpha_{n1}} \cdots y_{nj_n}^{\alpha_{nj_n}} \cdot w^{(N)},$$

where $|\alpha_{ni}| \le |w_n|_n \le C_n |w|^n$. Now we only have to verify that $w_{n+1} = w^{(N)}$ satisfies condition (5). Indeed, by repeatedly applying (6) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|w^{(N)}|_{n+\ell} &\le |w^{(N-1)}|_{n+\ell} + C_0 |w^{(N-1)}|_\ell \\
&\le \left(|w^{(N-2)}|_{n+\ell} + C_0 |w^{(N-2)}|_\ell\right) + C_0 \left(|w^{(N-2)}|_\ell + C_0 |w^{(N-2)}|_{\ell-n}\right) \\
&= |w^{(N-2)}|_{n+\ell} + 2C_0 |w^{(N-2)}|_\ell + C_0^2 |w^{(N-2)}|_{\ell-n} \\
&\le \cdots \\
&\le \binom{j}{0} |w^{(N-j)}|_{n+\ell} + \ldots + \binom{j}{j} C_0^j |w^{(N-j)}|_{n+\ell-jn} \\
&= \sum_{\iota=0}^{j} \binom{j}{\iota} C_0^\iota |w^{(N-j)}|_{n+\ell-\iota n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the latter expression all terms with $n + l - \iota n < n$ are zero, so the sum is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\iota=0}^{j} \binom{j}{\iota} C_0^\iota |w^{(N-j)}|_{n+\ell-\iota n} &= \sum_{\iota \le \frac{\ell}{n}} \binom{j}{\iota} C_0^\iota |w^{(N-j)}|_{n+\ell-\iota n} \\
&\le \cdots \\
&\le C_0^{c/n} \sum_{\iota \le \frac{\ell}{n}} \binom{N}{\iota} |w^{(0)}|_{n+\ell-\iota n}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (5), we have $|w^{(0)}|_{n+\ell-\iota n} = |w_n|_{n+\ell-\iota n} \le C_n |w|^{n+\ell-\iota n}$. Also recall that $N = |w_n|_n \le C_n |w|^n$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0^{c/n} \sum_{\iota \le \frac{\ell}{n}} \binom{N}{\iota} |w^{(0)}|_{n+\ell-\iota n} &\le C_0^{c/n} \sum_{\iota \le \frac{\ell}{n}} N^\iota C_n |w|^{n+\ell-\iota n} \\
&\le C_0^{c/n} \sum_{\iota \le \frac{\ell}{n}} (C_n |w|^n)^\iota C_n |w|^{n+\ell-\iota n} \\
&\le C_0^{c/n} \sum_{\iota \le \frac{\ell}{n}} C_n^\iota C_n |w|^{\iota n} |w|^{n+\ell-\iota n} \\
&\le C_{n+1} |w|^{n+\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, to establish the statement, it suffices to set the polynomial $P(x) = C_c x^c$. $\square$

Remark 2. *Note that we actually have shown that $|\alpha_{ij}| \leq C_i|w|^i$ for some constant C_i that only depends on the class of nilpotency c.*

Proposition 3. *For every integer $c > 0$, there is a polynomial P such that in any nilpotent group H of nilpotency class at most c for any k elements $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in H$, any $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$, and any permutation $\tau \in S_k$, an equality*

$$f_{\tau_1}^{\varepsilon_{\tau_1}} \cdots f_{\tau_k}^{\varepsilon_{\tau_k}} = f_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots f_k^{\varepsilon_k} \cdot h_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots h_m^{\alpha_m}$$

takes place, where $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ are all possible iterated commutators of $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ of weights $2, 3, \ldots, c$, and $|\alpha_i| \leq P(k)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

Proof. Consider the free nilpotent group $N_{k,c}$ on k generators $x_1, \ldots, x_k$. By Theorem 1, the equality

$$x_{\tau 1}^{\varepsilon_{\tau 1}} \cdots x_{\tau k}^{\varepsilon_{\tau k}} = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots x_k^{\varepsilon_k} \cdot y_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} \cdots y_m^{\alpha_m}$$

takes place, where $y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_m$ are iterated commutators of x_i, and $|\alpha_i| \leq P(k)$. Since $N_{k,c}$ is a free nilpotent group of class c on free generators $x_1, \ldots, x_k$, the same equality holds under the specialization $x_i \to f_i$. □

3. Distortion in polycyclic groups

The following two statements are well known, but we provide the proof here for the sake of completeness. Recall that $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Also note that below we follow the convention that, for $m, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{l}$ is 0 whenever $m < l$.

Lemma 4. *Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix with complex entries, let α be the maximum of absolute values of its eigenvalues. There is a positive constant C_M such that for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\|M^k v\| \leq C_M \left(\alpha^k + \binom{k}{1} \alpha^{k-1} + \ldots + \binom{k}{n} \alpha^{k-n} \right) \|v\|.$$

Proof. Let $M = C^{-1} J_M C$, where J_M is the Jordan normal form of M and $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $b, c > 0$ be such that $\|Cv\| \leq b\|v\|$ and $\|C^{-1}v\| \leq c\|v\|$ for all $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then, inspecting Jordan normal form, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M^k v\| = \|C^{-1} J_M^k C v\| &\leq c\|J_M^k C v\| \\ &\leq c \left(\alpha^k + \binom{k}{1} \alpha^{k-1} + \ldots + \binom{k}{n} \alpha^{k-n} \right) \|Cv\| \\ &\leq bc \left(\alpha^k + \binom{k}{1} \alpha^{k-1} + \ldots + \binom{k}{n} \alpha^{k-n} \right) \|v\|, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Proposition 5. *Let $H = \langle x \rangle \ltimes K$, where $K = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and x acts on K by conjugation via a matrix $X \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose H is not virtually nilpotent. Then X has a complex eigenvalue of absolute value greater than 1.*

Proof. Suppose all eigenvalues of X are of absolute value at most 1.

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be the standard basis for $K = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and suppose a word $w = w(x, e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ represents an element g of K. Then algebraic sum of the exponents of x in w is 0 and, hence, w can be written as:

$$w = g_1^{x^{k_1}} \cdots g_\ell^{x^{k_\ell}}, \tag{7}$$

where $\ell \leq |w|$, $|k_i| \leq |w|$, $g_i \in K$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and $|g_1| + \ldots + |g_\ell| \leq |w|$. Recall that for an element $g \in K = \mathbb{Z}^n$, one has

$$\|g\| \leq |g|_K \leq \sqrt{n}\|g\|,$$

where $|g|_K$ stands for the word length of g in standard generators of K. Since $\alpha \leq 1$, it follows from Lemma 4 that there is a polynomial $p(k)$ of degree n such that $\|X^k g\| \leq p(k)\|g\|$ for every $g \in K$. We therefore obtain:

$$|g_i^{x^{k_i}}|_K \leq \sqrt{n}\|g_i^{x^{k_i}}\| = \sqrt{n}\|X^{k_i} g_i\| \leq \sqrt{n}\, p(k_i)\|g_i\| \leq \sqrt{n}\, p(k_i)|g_i|_K,$$

and, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} |w|_K = |g_1^{x^{k_1}} \cdots g_\ell^{x^{k_\ell}}|_K &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |g_i^{x^{k_i}}|_K \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sqrt{n} p(k_i)|g_i|_K \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} p(|w|) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |g_i|_K \leq \sqrt{n}\, p(|w|)|w|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the distortion of K in H is at most polynomial, say $|w|_K \leq q(|w|)$.

Finally we claim that H has polynomial growth. Indeed, if $u \in H$ is an element of the ball B_N of radius N in the group H in generators $x, e_1, \ldots, e_n$, then $u = x^k w$, where w is of the form (7) and $|k| \leq N$. Therefore:

$$|B_N| \leq (2N+1) \cdot (2q(N)+1)^n,$$

and H has polynomial growth. Thus H is virtually nilpotent by [3]. □

Recall that every polycyclic group G has a unique maximal normal nilpotent subgroup, called the Fitting subgroup of G and denoted $\operatorname{Fitt} G$ (see, for example, [14, Chapter 1]). Note that $\operatorname{Fitt} G$ is a characteristic subgroup of G. Let

$$1 = G_0 \lhd G_1 \lhd G_2 \lhd \ldots \lhd G_m = G \tag{8}$$

be a subnormal series for G with cyclic quotients. Denote $\operatorname{Fitt} G_i = H_i$. For each $i = 0, \ldots, m-1$, we have that $G_i \lhd G_{i+1}$ and H_i is a characteristic subgroup of G_i, therefore, $H_i \lhd G_{i+1}$. If follows that $H_i \leq H_{i+1}$. Suppose that $H = \operatorname{Fitt} G$ is a term of the polycyclic series (8), $H = G_j$ with $j < m$. Then $H = H_j \leq H_{j+1} \leq H_m = H$, so $H_j = H_{j+1}$. Observe that in this case, G_{j+1} is not virtually nilpotent if G_{j+1}/G_j is infinite cyclic. Indeed, if G_{j+1} is virtually nilpotent, then it has a finite index normal nilpotent subgroup and therefore $H_{j+1} > H_j$.

Proposition 6. *Let G be a polycyclic group that is not vitually nilpotent. There exists an element $x \in G$ and normal nilpotent subgroups $K \leq H$ of G such that H/K is infinite abelian and $\langle x, H\rangle/K$ is not virtually nilpotent.*

Proof. Since $G/\operatorname{Fitt} G$ is infinite, it has a polycyclic series (8) such that $\operatorname{Fitt} G = G_j$, $j < m$, and G_{j+1}/G_j is infinite cyclic (see, for example, [14, Chapter 1, Proposition 2]). Let $g_{j+1} \in G_{j+1}$ be such that $G_{j+1} = \langle g_{j+1}, G_j\rangle$. We claim that we can take $x = g_{j+1}$, $H = G_j$, and K to be the commutator subgroup $H' = [H, H]$ of H.

Indeed, the subgroup $K = H'$ is characteristic in $H = G_{j+1} = \operatorname{Fitt} G$, therefore normal in G. Further, if the abelianization H/H' is finite, then H is finite (for example, by [14, Chapter 1, Corollary 9]) and therefore so is G, by [14, Chapter 1, Lemma 6]. It was observed above that $G_{j+1} = \langle x, H\rangle$ is not virtually nilpotent. Finally, if $\langle x, H\rangle/H'$ is virtually nilpotent, it follows by [14, Chapter 1, Corollary 12]) that $\langle x, H\rangle$ is virtually nilpotent, which is not the case. □

For a polycyclic group G let $x \in G$ and $K \leq H \leq G$ be as provided by Proposition 6. In Section 4, we show that **SSP** in the abelian-by-cyclic group $\langle x, H\rangle/K$ is **NP**-hard. In Section 5, we show that the instances involved in this reduction, in turn, polynomially reduce to $\mathbf{SSP}(\langle x, H\rangle)$ and therefore to $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$. Together with the observation that the word problem in G is solvable in polynomial time, this will imply that $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$ is **NP**-complete.

4. **SSP** in abelian-by-cyclic groups

Fix a group $F = \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ with exponentially distorted $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by a matrix $X \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Also, fix a generating set $\{x, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, where x is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are standard generators for $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\varphi : F(x, e_1, \ldots, e_n) \to F$ be the canonical epimorphism. Below we reduce a problem known to be **NP**-complete, namely zero-one equation problem, to $\mathbf{SSP}(F)$.

As before, let α be the greatest absolute value of an eigenvalue for $X \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Define a polynomial $p(k) \in \mathbb{R}[k]$:

$$p(k) = C_X \cdot \left(1 + \binom{k}{1}\frac{1}{\alpha} + \ldots + \binom{k}{n}\frac{1}{\alpha^n}\right),$$

where C_X is a constant provided by Lemma 4.

Proposition 7. *In the above notation, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying:*

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\alpha^k \leq \|X^k e_j\| \leq p(k)\alpha^k.$$

Proof. The latter inequality is established in Lemma 4. To show the former inequality, observe that if for some $\mu > 0$:

$$\|X^k e_i\| < \mu \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

then for any $v = v_1 e_1 + \ldots + v_n e_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$\|X^k v\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_i| \|X^k e_i\| < \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_i| \leq \mu\sqrt{n}\|v\|.$$

Since there is $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\|X^k v\| = \alpha^k \|v\|$, it follows that $\alpha^k < \mu\sqrt{n}$, that is $\mu > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\alpha^k$, as required. □

By Proposition 5, $\alpha > 1$. Observe that given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find a basis vector e (denoted by e_k^*) provided by Proposition 7 in polynomial time by computing $X^k e_1, \ldots, X^k e_n$. Now, for $\lambda \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define a constant:

$$c_{\lambda,k} = \lceil \log_\alpha(p(k)) \rceil + \lceil \log_\alpha \lambda \rceil + \lceil \log_\alpha \sqrt{n} \rceil + 1$$

and notice that:

$$\lambda \|X^k e_k^*\| < \|X^{k+c_{\lambda,k}} e_{k+c_{\lambda,k}}^*\|.$$

For a sequence of numbers $n_1 = 1$, $n_{i+1} = n_i + c_{\lambda,n_i}$ we have:

$$\lambda^{k-1}\|X^{n_1} e_{n_1}^*\| < \lambda^{k-2}\|X^{n_2} e_{n_2}^*\| < \ldots < \lambda\|X^{n_{k-1}} e_{n_{k-1}}^*\| < \|X^{n_k} e_{n_k}^*\|. \tag{9}$$

Denote the words corresponding to $X^{n_1} e_{n_1}^*, \ldots, X^{n_k} e_{n_k}^*$ by $w_{\lambda,1}, \ldots, w_{\lambda,k}$, i.e., define:

$$w_{\lambda,i} = x^{-n_i} e_{n_i}^* x^{n_i}.$$

Clearly, $|w_{\lambda,i}| \leq 1 + 2n_i$. Now we find an upper bound for n_i. Notice that $c_{\lambda,k} \leq A + B\log_\alpha(\lambda k)$, where the constants $A, B > 0$ depend only on α, C_X, and n. Then

$$n_i \leq n_1 + iA + B(\log_\alpha(\lambda n_1) + \cdots + \log_\alpha(\lambda n_i)) \leq iA + iB\log_\alpha(\lambda n_i),$$

or

$$\frac{n_i}{A + B\log_\alpha(\lambda n_i)} \leq i, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \frac{\lambda n_i}{A + B\log_\alpha(\lambda n_i)} \leq \lambda i.$$

Since there is a constant $C \geq 0$ (that depends only on A, B, α) such that $\frac{t}{A+B\log_\alpha(t)} \geq \sqrt{t} - C$ for all $t \geq 1$, we have

$$\sqrt{\lambda n_i} - C \leq \lambda i, \text{ so } n_i \leq \lambda^{-1}(\lambda i + C)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$|w_{\lambda,i}| \leq 1 + 2n_i \leq 1 + 2\lambda^{-1}(\lambda i + C)^2, \tag{10}$$

where C ultimately depends only on X and n. (Of course, better estimates for the growth of n_i are possible but immaterial for our purposes.)

Proposition 8. **SSP**(F) *is* **NP***-hard.*

Proof. For an instance of **ZOE**:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

choose $\lambda = k$ and consider the instance $(g_1, \dots, g_k, g)$ of **SSP**(F), where:

$$g_i = w_{\lambda,1}^{a_{1i}} \dots w_{\lambda,k}^{a_{ki}} \text{ and } g = w_{\lambda,1} \dots w_{\lambda,k}.$$

We claim that the instance of **ZOE** is positive if and only if the corresponding instance of **SSP** is positive. Indeed, let $k \geq 2$ (the case $k = 1$ is immediate). The instance of **SSP** is positive if and only if the linear combination

$$\left(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{1i}\varepsilon_i\right) X^{n_1} e^*_{n_1} + \ldots + \left(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ki}\varepsilon_i\right) X^{n_k} e^*_{n_k} \tag{11}$$

is equal to 0 for some $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Since for every coefficient in (11) we have

$$-1 \leq -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ji}\varepsilon_i \leq k - 1,$$

it follows from (9) that (11) is trivial if and only if all coefficients are 0, i.e., there are $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ji}\varepsilon_i = 1 \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

The latter is precisely the condition for the corresponding instance of **ZOE** to be positive.

Furthermore, since it is straightforward to write $w_{\lambda,k}$, the time to generate the instance of **SSP** is proportional to

$$|g_1| + \ldots + |g_k| + |g| \leq (k+1)|g| \leq (k+1)(k|w_{k,k}|).$$

Taking (10) into account, we see that the above is clearly polynomial in k. Therefore, **ZOE** can be reduced to **SSP**(F) in polynomial time. Thus, **SSP**(F) is **NP**-hard. □

Since the word problem in F is decidable in polynomial time, the following holds:

Corollary 9. **SSP**(F) *is* **NP**-*complete.*

Remark 10. *Note that the elements $w_{\lambda,k}$ involved in the above reduction belong to the "bottom" subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^n$ of $F = \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$.*

5. SSP in polycyclic groups

Now we turn to the case of an arbitrary polycyclic group G.

Theorem 11. *Let G be non-virtually nilpotent polyclic group. Then* **SSP**(G) *is* **NP**-*complete.*

Proof. Since word problem in G is polynomial time decidable, it suffices to show that **SSP**(G) is **NP**-hard. For that, we show that the reduction of **ZOE** to the subset sum problem in an abelian-by-cyclic group described in Section 4 can be refined to deliver a reduction to **SSP**(G).

Let $x \in G$ and normal subgroups $K \leq H$ of G be as provided by Proposition 6. Passing to a subgroup of H/K, we may assume that the group $F = \langle x, H\rangle/K$ is (free abelian)-by-cyclic, as specified in Section 4.

Let a $k \times k$ matrix $Z = (a_{ji})$ be given as an input of **ZOE**, let $g'_1, \dots, g'_k, g' \in F$ be the equivalent input of **SSP**(F), and let $w'_{\lambda,1}, \dots, w'_{\lambda,k}$, with $\lambda = k$, be the corresponding elements of F involved in the construction, as chosen in the proof of Proposition 8:

$$g'_i = {w'_{\lambda,1}}^{a_{1i}} \dots {w'_{\lambda,k}}^{a_{ki}} \text{ and } g' = w'_{\lambda,1} \dots w'_{\lambda,k}.$$

Fix representatives $w_{\lambda,k} \in \langle x, H\rangle$ of $w'_{\lambda,k}$: $w'_{\lambda,1} = w_{\lambda,1}K$, $\dots$, $w'_{\lambda,k} = w_{\lambda,k}K$. Consequently, fix representatives of g'_i, g' as follows:

$$g_i = w_{\lambda,1}^{a_{1i}} \dots w_{\lambda,k}^{a_{ki}} \text{ and } g = w_{\lambda,1} \dots w_{\lambda,k}.$$

Note that we may assume that elements in $\langle x, H\rangle/K$ are encoded by words in generators of $\langle x, H\rangle$, so we can neglect the time required to choose elements $w_{\lambda,i}, g_i, g$.

By construction, if the equality

$${g'_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots {g'_k}^{\varepsilon_k} = g'$$

takes place in F, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ji}\varepsilon_i = 1 \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

or, in other words, each factor $w'_{\lambda,i}$ occurs in the product ${g'_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots {g'_k}^{\varepsilon_1}$ exactly once. By the choice of $w_{\lambda,i}$ and g_i, the same is true for factors $w_{\lambda,i}$ in the product $g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\varepsilon_1}$, that is, the latter and the element g are products of the same factors $w_{\lambda,1}, \dots, w_{\lambda,k}$ in, perhaps, different orders.

Recall that $w_{\lambda,i} \in H$ by Remark 10 and therefore generate a nilpotent subgroup H_0 of H. Let $c_0 \leq c$ be the nilpotency classes of $H_0 \leq H$, respectively. Since H_0 is nilpotent, the $w_{\lambda,i}$ factors in the product $g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}$ can be rearranged as

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\varepsilon_k} \cdot h_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots h_m^{\alpha_m} = w_{\lambda,1} \cdots w_{\lambda,k} = g,$$

where $h_1, \dots, h_m$ are iterated commutators of $w_{\lambda,1}, \dots, w_{\lambda,k}$ up to weight $c_0 \leq c$. Since there are k factors $w_{\lambda,i}$ in the product $g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}$, by Proposition 3, there is a polynomial P that only depends on c such that each $|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_m|$ can be taken to not exceed $P(k)$.

Therefore, if the instance $g_1', \dots, g_k', g'$ of $\mathbf{SSP}(F)$ is positive, then the instance

$$g_1, \dots, g_k, \underbrace{h_1, \dots, h_1}_{P(k)}, \underbrace{h_1^{-1}, \dots, h_1^{-1}}_{P(k)}, \dots, \underbrace{h_m, \dots, h_m}_{P(k)}, \underbrace{h_m^{-1}, \dots, h_m^{-1}}_{P(k)}, g \tag{12}$$

of $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$ is positive. The converse is immediate since H/K is abelian and therefore $h_i \in K$. It follows that the instance Z of **ZOE** is positive if and only if (12) is a positive instance of $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$.

It is only left to observe that there are at most $(2k)^c + (2k)^{c-1} + \ldots + (2k)^2$ iterated commutators of $2k$ elements $w_{\lambda,i}^{\pm 1}$, each of length at most $2^c|w_{\lambda,k}|$, so the tuple (12) can be constructed in time which is polynomial in the size of the original input Z. By Section 4, this gives a reduction of **ZOE** to $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$. □

Corollary 12. *Let G be a polycyclic group. If G is virtually nilpotent, then $\mathbf{SSP}(G) \in \mathbf{P}$. Otherwise $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$ is* **NP***-complete.*

Proof. If G is virtually nilpotent, the subset sum problem has polynomial time solution by [8, Theorem 3.3]. If G is not virtually nilpotent, the statement immediately follows by Theorem 11. □

Therefore, $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$ is **NP**-hard for any group G that contains a not virtually nilpotent polycyclic subgroup and **NP**-complete if, additionally, the word problem for G is polynomial time decidable. In particular, the subset sum problem is **NP**-complete for any virtually polycyclic group that is not virtually nilpotent.

6. Open questions

In conclusion, we would like to formulate several open problems related to our work. First, given that $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$ is polynomial time decidable in virtually nilpotent groups, **NP**-complete in otherwise polycyclic groups by Theorem 11, **NP**-complete in $BS(1,2)$ and free metabelian groups by [8], and **NP**-complete in lamplighter group by [10], it is only natural to make the following conjecture:

Conjecture. Let G be a finitely generated (non virtually nilpotent) metabelian group. Then $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$ is **NP**-complete.

The next logical step is to study the subset sum problem in solvable groups. Taking into account that not all solvable groups have decidable word problem, we can state the following question.

Question. Let G be a finitely generated (non virtually nilpotent) solvable group with polynomial time decidable word problem. Is it true that $\mathbf{SSP}(G)$ is **NP**-complete?

Third, while not immediately related to the present paper, the following question is interesting and still open.

Question. What is the complexity of the subset sum problem in the first Grigorchuk's group?

References

[1] S. Dasgupta, C. Papadimitriou, and U. Vazirani. *Algorithms*. McGraw-Hill Science, 2006.

[2] L. Frenkel, A. Nikolaev, and A. Ushakov. Knapsack problems in products of groups. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 74:96–108, 2016.

[3] M. Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 53:53–73, 1981.

[4] Philip Hall. *The Edmonton notes on nilpotent groups*. Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes. Mathematics Department, Queen Mary College, London, 1969.

[5] D. König, M. Lohrey, and G. Zetzsche. Knapsack and subset sum problems in nilpotent, polycyclic, and co-context-free groups. Preprint. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.05145`, 2015.

[6] M. Lohrey and G. Zetzsche. Knapsack in graph groups, HNN-extensions and amalgamated products. Preprint, to appear in Proceedings of STACS 2016. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.05957`, 2015.

[7] Jeremy Macdonald, Alexei Myasnikov, Andrey Nikolaev, and Svetla Vassileva. Logspace and compressed-word computations in nilpotent groups. arXiv:1503.03888 [math.GR], 2015.

[8] A. G. Miasnikov, A. Nikolaev, and A. Ushakov. Knapsack problems in groups. *Math. Comput.*, 84:987–1016, 2015.

[9] A. Mishchenko and A. Treyer. Knapsack problem in nilpotent groups. Preprint, 2016.

[10] A. Mishchenko and A. Treyer. Subset sum problem in the lamplighter group. Preprint, 2016.

[11] A. G. Myasnikov, A. Nikolaev, and A. Ushakov. The Post correspondence problem in groups. *J. Group Theory*, 17:991–1008, 2014.

[12] A. G. Myasnikov, A. Nikolaev, and A. Ushakov. Non-commutative lattice problems. *J. Group Theory*, 19:455–475, 2016.

[13] D. Osin. Exponential Radicals of Solvable Lie Groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 248(2):790–805, 2002.

[14] D. Segal. *Polycyclic Groups*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2005.

Andrey Nikolaev, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ, 07030 USA
E-mail address: `anikolae@stevens.edu`

Alexander Ushakov, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ, 07030 USA
E-mail address: `aushakov@stevens.edu`